Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propogation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
All Participants:  (1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL. (2) James Howard, Reg. No. 56.377. (4)		10/749,851	ZENZ, INGO			
All Participants:  Status of Application:		Examiner	Art Unit			
(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL. (2) James Howard. Reg. No. 56,377. (4)		ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	2167			
(2) James Howard, Reg. No. 56.377. (4)  Date of Interview: 14 January 2009   Time: 1pm  Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   Establibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No   Fives, provide a brief description:   Part I.   Rejection(s) discussed:   101 rejection   Claims discussed:   23 - 25   Prior art documents discussed:   23 - 25   Prior art documents discussed:   Part II.   SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:   Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr. Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard and agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner reviewed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview idid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
Type of Interview: 14 January 2009  Time: 1pm  Type of Interview:	(1) <u>ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL</u> .	(3)				
Type of Interview:  Telephonic  Tydeo Conference  Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:   No   If Yes, provide a provided and the same and the Yes, provide a propogation medium, and Mr. Howard or January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview   If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the inte	(2) James Howard, Reg. No. 56,377.	(4)				
Telephonic  ☐ deconference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No  Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  101 rejection  Claims discussed:  23 - 25  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disevows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in the necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in the necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in the necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied in the interview, since the intervied in the resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	Date of Interview: 14 January 2009	Time: <u>1pm</u>				
Rejection(s) discussed:  101 rejection  Claims discussed: 23 - 25  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  Alexandria Bromell/  Examiner, 2167  January 14, 2009	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ No	nt's representative)				
Claims discussed: 23 - 25  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview, applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of its interview, since the interview of its not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  /Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	Part I.					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr. Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disevows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied irectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  /Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009						
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied irectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  /Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant dissovers the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied circetty resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	Prior art documents discussed:					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substan of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  /Alexandria Bromell/ Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [00:5] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr. Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear. Examiner neceived or alconfirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.					
Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview					
(Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	Examiner, 2167 January 14, 2009	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			